

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/919,286	07/31/2001	John D. Kersten	260/131	2483
7:	590 10/31/2006		EXAMINER	
BRANDON N. SKLAR			CATTUNGAL, SANJAY	
KAYE SCHOL 425 PARK AV	,		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
NEW YORK, NY 10022			3768	
			DATE MAILED: 10/31/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/919,286	KERSTEN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Sanjay Cattungal	3768				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	, , , ,	1 '				
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT (36(a)). In no event, however, may a reply will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS (6), cause the application to become ABAND	FION. be timely filed from the mailing date of this communication. FONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 A</u>	<u>ugust 2006</u> .					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	,—					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11	I, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-55</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
S) Claim(s) <u>1-55</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>1/9/02</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer. Note the attached Of	ffice Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11	9(a)-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 						
•	•	eived in this National Stage				
application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list		eived				
See the attached detailed Office action for a list	or the certified copies not rec					
Attachment(s)	4) 🔲 Interview Sumr	man: (BTO 413)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		nary (P10-413) ail Date				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 8/11/05.	5) ☐ Notice of Inform 6) ☐ Other:	nal Patent Application				

Mu

Application/Control Number: 09/919,286 Page 2

Art Unit: 3768

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

- 1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-55 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 2. Double Patenting Rejection has been withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- 4. Claims 1, 2, 4-7, 9-12, 14-18, 20, 22, 25-47, 49-52, 54, and 55, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U. S. Patent No. 6,198,285 to Kormos et al. U. S. Patent No. 6,503,188 to August.
- Regarding Claims 1, 2, 4-7, 9, 10, 35-43, 45-47, 49, 52, 54, and 55, Kormos et al. discloses a room for conducting a medical procedure having a magnetic resonance imaging assembly with first and second ferromagnetic elements, ferromagnetic pole supports, and poles supported by the pole supports, a screen within the assembly having a plurality of scenes, each scene having at least one image, illumination from behind and means for changing the scene to a selected scene and acoustic means for providing sounds (col. 3, lines 48-52 and 57-63, col. 4, lines 32-36 and 49-56, col. 5, lines 27-30, col. 7, lines 66 and 67 and col. 8, lines 1-6).

Art Unit: 3768

6. Kormos et al. does not explicitly disclose that changing the image is achieved by moving the screen, a switch controlling movement of the screen, a cartridge for containing the screen, where the screen is arcuate, means for changing the cartridge, where the screen is moved to display a first or second selected image and where the second image is displayed by replacing the first cartridge with a second cartridge.

- 7. August discloses the use of a rollable health care display screen that is moved into and out of a cartridge, by scrolling the screen along a track. (Fig. 4)
- 8. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Kormos with a rollable health care display as taught by August since such a setup is a inexpensive way of relaxing the patient while being scanned by an MRI. Its been well known in the art that patients are more relaxed in the presence of pleasant pictures and audible sounds as doctors and dental offices around the world use pictures and sceneries around the patient area to make them feel more welcomed and relaxed.
- 9. Regarding Claims 11, 12, 14-18, 20, 22, 25-34, 44, 50, and 51, August teaches each of these features, including olfactory stimulation, a moving means that is a belt to which the screen is removably attached, a track for guiding the belt and an arcuate track (col. 10, lines 12-15 and 30-32, col. 11, lines 14-21 and col. 12, lines 3-8). Here the Examiner has interpreted the curtain (ref. no. 28 in Fig. 1) as the belt to which the screen is removably attached and the curtain rod (unnumbered in Fig. 1) as the rack by which the belt is guided, which is arcuate in the regions where it rounds the comers of the room (Fig. 1).

Art Unit: 3768

10. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Kormos in the position and size of the screen of August within an MR examination room in order to provide a soothing and relaxing effect for the patient by displaying larger images that can be viewed from across the examination room (see August at col. 9, lines 32-38).

- 11. Claims 3, 23, and 24, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U. S. Patent No. 6,198,285 to Kormos et al. U. S. Patent No. 6,503,188 to August further in view of U. S. Patent No. 5,493,802 to Simson.
- 12. Regarding Claim 3, Kormos and August teach all of the above claimed limitations but do not expressly teach that a switch controls the rollable image display.
- 13. Simson teaches the use of a scroll-displaying device, which has a switch and motors to play the device and control the motion of the image display. (Col. 4 Lines 19-58)
- 14. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Kormos and August with a switch and motor setup as taught by Simson since such a setup would make it easier to use the device moreover, adding a switch and motor is just a step to automate the process and is well known in the art.
- 15. Regarding **Claims 23 and 24** Kormos and August teaches all of the features of the present invention except for expressly disclosing a serrated gear coupled to the belt that has a serrated portion, and a torque converter for controlling movement.

Art Unit: 3768

16. Simson et al. discloses a belt and pulley system that may be serrated, and a torque converter, which causes movement of the screen into and out of the cartridge (col. 4, lines 19-22 and col. 7, lines 5-6, 11-23 and 52-55 and col. 8, lines 33-35).

- 17. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have used a pulley system having a gear that is serrated to prevent the gear from slipping and having a torque converter in order to minimize the size of the motor to improve the ease with which the screen is moved into and out of the cartridge (see for motivation Simson et al. at col. 7, lines 5-23).
- 18. Claims 8, 48, and 53, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U. S. Patent No. 6,198,285 to Kormos et al. U. S. Patent No. 6,503,188 to August, and further in view of Overweg (U.S. Patent No. 5,917,395).
- 19. Regarding **Claims 8, 48, and 53,** Kormos and August teach all of the features of the present invention except for expressly disclosing a ceiling that is illuminated.
- 20. Overweg teaches an MRI system having ceiling-mounted illumination (col. 3, lines 5-9 and col. 4, lines 27-30).
- 21. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide lighting on the ceiling of the room so that the room is adequately lit for movement within the room and viewing of the screen.
- 22. Claims 13 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 6,198,285 to Kormos et al. U.S. Patent No. 6,503,188 to August, and further in view of Saylor et al. (U.S. Patent No. 4,173,087).

Application/Control Number: 09/919,286 Page 6

Art Unit: 3768

23. Regarding Claims 13 and 21, Kormos and August teaches all of the features of the present invention except for expressly disclosing that the screen is printed on both sides and that the screen is movable to display the image on the front side or the backside.

- 24. Saylor et al. discloses a system using a scroll-style display where the screen is printed on both sides (col. 1, lines 53-62 and col. 2, lines 49-52) and where rollers are set up such that the screen moves around the rollers to display the images on the front and the back sides (col. 2, lines 52-57 and col. 4, lines 30-33).
- 25. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use a two-sided screen and system displaying images on either side of Saylor et al. with the scrollable display apparatus of Kormos and August in order to shorten the length of screen needed to provide the number of images desired and to increase the efficiency of the system by reducing the length of time it takes to switch from an image at one end of the screen to one at the other end (see Saylor et al. at col. 1, lines 35-40).

Conclusion

- 26. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sanjay Cattungal whose telephone number is (571)272-1306. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30 5:00 pm.
- 27. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eleni Mantis-Mercader can be reached on (571)272-4740. The fax phone

Art Unit: 3768

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

28. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

SPC

BRIAN L. CASLER
SUPERVISORY PATTER DOCAMINER
TECHNISORY PATTER 3700